

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/548,465	04/13/2000	Robert F. Bencini	15916-261	7431
7	7590 03/06/2003			
Henricks Slavin & Holmes LLP			EXAMINER	
840 Apollo Street Suite 200			SIRMONS, KEVIN C	
El Segundo, C.	A 90245		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	15
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
:	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	09/548,465	BENCINI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication an	Kevin C. Sirmons	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 201	Mav 2002 .					
2a) <u></u>	Section 1. Annual Contraction of the Contraction of	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application	l .					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,6-10,12-16 and 28-42</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,11 and 17-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	. –						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)							
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No				
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) 🗌 Ad	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	EN I Madia a construction in the	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)				
PTO-326 (Rev.		nn Summary	Part of Paper No. 12				

Application/Control Number: 09/548,465

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 3, 6-10, 12-16 and 28-42 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 4, it is unclear what applicant regards as an elongate member extending only partially around the perimeter. What is the reference numeral?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4, 5, 11, 17-20 and 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ebling et al U.S. Pat. No. 4,934,340.

Ebling discloses an elongate body defining a proximal portion and a distal portion and a wall defining an inner surface, and outer surface and a lumen extending from the proximal portion to an aperture in the distal portion (fig. 1);

Application/Control Number: 09/548,465

Art Unit: 3763

a steering wire having a distal portion operably connected to the distal portion of the elongate body (20); and a stiffening member associated with the distal portion of the elongate body (22); as to claim 2, (22 is located within a lumen which does not have a reference numeral, See figs. 2 and 3); as to claim 4, (fig. 2); as to claims 5 and 11, (22); as to claim 17, (figs. 2 and 3); as to claim 18, (20 is located within a lumen which does not have a reference numeral, see figs. 2 and 3); as to claim 19, (fig. 1); as to claim 20, (24); as to claims 22 and 23, (fig. 2); as to claims 24 and 25, (14a represents anti-tear device); as to claim 26, (col. 3); as to claim 27, figs. 1-3 and col. 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ebling et al in view of Osborne et al.

Ebling discloses the apparatus substantially as claimed except for wherein at least a portion of the anchoring member is substantially radiopaque. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the anchoring member radiopaque, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in material. A change in material is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Furthermore, Osborne clearly discloses radiopaque bands (22 and 24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 09/548,465

Art Unit: 3763

Page 4

the art at the time the invention was made to specifically modify the anchoring material of Ebling with the material of Osborne's radiopaque bands to insure proper positioning of the catheter, since Ebling teaches that his anchor (24) may be formed of any electrically conductive material.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kevin C. Sirmons whose telephone number is (703) 306-5410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Kevin C. Sirmons Patent Examiner

9/20/02

BRIAN L. CASLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700